

Canal Winchester

*City Hall
Council Chambers
45 East Waterloo Street
Canal Winchester, OH 43110*



Meeting Minutes - FINAL

February 6, 2023

6:00 PM

Council Work Session

*Bob Clark - Chair
Laurie Amick
Jill Amos
Steve Buskirk
Chuck Milliken
Patrick Shea
Mike Walker*

- A. **Call To Order** *Clark called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.*
- B. **Roll Call** *Present 6 – Amick, Amos, Buskirk, Clark, Milliken, Walker*

A motion was made by Amos, seconded by Walker to excuse Mr. Shea from the Work Session meeting. The motion carried with the following vote:

Yes 6 – Amos, Walker, Amick, Buskirk, Clark, Milliken

C. **Also In Attendance**

Mayor Ebert, Matt Peoples, Lucas Haire, Amanda Jackson, Bill Sims

D. **Request for Council Action**

RES-23-008

Finance

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT FOR INDIGENT DEFENSE REPRESENTATION IN THE FRANKLIN COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT WITH THE FRANKLIN COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF CANAL WINCHESTER MAYOR'S COURT FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2023, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY

- Request to move to full Council

A motion was made by Milliken, seconded by Amick to move RES-23-008 to full Council. The motion carried with the following vote:

Yes 6 – Milliken, Amick, Amos, Buskirk, Clark, Walker

Discussion started at 3:44 on YouTube Channel

Jackson – This is an annual contract. It's a very small-dollar contract. We probably spend less than \$3,000 a year on it; however, if an individual is charged with a crime within Canal Winchester and they qualify for a public defender, those services are currently provided by Franklin County. This is the contract where we pay them to provide the public defender for that individual who has committed the crime here in Canal Winchester. As I previously stated, this is a very small dollar contract. This is an annual thing, and we are asking for an emergency because technically this contract started January 1st, but I received it from the county on January 17th, so I'm just trying to expedite the process and get this back to them.

ORD-23-005

Law

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE CODIFIED ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF CANAL WINCHESTER PERTAINING TO PUBLICATION OF NOTICES IN NEWSPAPERS OF GENERAL CIRCULATION, AND DECLARE AN EMERGENCY

- Request to move to full Council

A motion was made by Buskirk, seconded by Amick to move ORD-23-005 to full Council. The motion carried with the following vote:

Yes 6 – Buskirk, Amick, Amos, Clark, Milliken, Walker

Discussion started at 5:27 on YouTube Channel

Boggs – This ordinance would revise your codified ordinances to provide for publication notices to be placed on the website rather than advertisements in general circulation newspapers. The impetus for this was that the dispatch publishing company decided not to continue publishing the local papers around

Franklin County and surrounding suburbs at the beginning of the year, leaving the city with the option of paying for advertisements in the Columbus Dispatch itself for local public notice, which in staff's judgement was an expense that was no longer justified, but to ensure that notice gets out and that we comply with what's in our own ordinances. This is proposed to allow website posting in lieu of newspaper.

Amos – Under the Ohio Revised Code, it states all legal advertisements, notices, and proclamations shall be printed in a newspaper of general circulation and shall be posted by the publisher of the newspaper on the newspaper's website. A publisher of a newspaper shall not charge for posting legal advertisements, notices, and proclamations that are required by law to be published in a newspaper of general circulation on the newspaper's website. Are we not getting that service? Because they're required to do it for us for free?

Boggs – I can tell you that they did not do it for free. I believe that provision pertains to notices that are specifically required by state law as opposed to local ordinances, which is what all of ours are. The city has home rule authority under its charter to revise that if you deem appropriate.

Amos – Can you give an example on some of the notices that we're putting out?

Boggs – Notices of public hearing for variance application requests. Notices of public hearing for pretty much anything that goes in front of Planning and Zoning Commission.

Clark – So we are just going to post it on our website, correct? Or is it going to be sent electronically to the newspapers via Southeast Messenger or Dispatch? It would be their choice whether to publish it or not.

Boggs – This would make the website posting adequate notice per our ordinances. The city could choose to still send it to the Dispatch or any other news publication, but they would charge advertising rates for it. I don't foresee a circumstance where they would do that for free for our typical notices.

Jackson – The other issue we run into is publication deadlines. Specifically, for Mr. Moore in the Planning and Zoning Department, he has to have things out a certain number of days ahead of the meeting, and sometimes we really struggle to get it into an edition of a newspaper that can get it published prior to that deadline. Unfortunately, sometimes those deadlines are a week or more before we want them in the paper. It's been a real struggle.

Boggs – That means that if that doesn't get in on time because Mr. Moore is reliant on applicants for information, an applicant will have to wait an extra month to get on the agenda.

ORD-23-006

Construction Services

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF THE MCGILL THREE SEASON SHELTER CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT WITH THE FERGUSON CONSTRUCTION COMPANY AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY

- Request to move to full Council

A motion was made by Amos, seconded by Buskirk to move ORD-23-006 to full Council. The motion carried with the following vote:

Yes 6 – Amos, Buskirk, Clark, Milliken, Walker, Amick

Discussion started at 10:34 on YouTube Channel

Sims – The legislation that I'd like to move to full council is for the Three Seasons Shelter at McGill Park.

I've attached a copy of the proposal from Ferguson Construction. The base bid was \$787,000. That was the structure, minus the garage doors and a portion of the south wall. It was more of an open structure. We did have an alternate to add the doors and enclose the structure completely to create the three-season shelter that we were intending previously, and that was an additional \$75,000; Within the proposal, we provided the opportunity for contractors to identify some cost-saving possibilities and some value engineering within their proposal. We took a look at them, sat down with them, and went through the details on them. We determined that we would accept two of them. Basically, we realized a \$3,600 savings by minimizing the insulating qualities of the overhead doors. Essentially, the rails and door styles, as the building is not intended to be a heavily occupied space during the winter. Also, change the glass thickness of the overhead doors from a half inch to a quarter inch. The doors are typically available in thicknesses ranging from an eighth of an inch to a half-inch. The reason for different thicknesses is primarily for insulating properties. Again, a half inch is likely to be seen on a business or something occupied during the coldest months of the year. It brought the total contract to \$848,697, and we believe that's the best way to move forward to get that project going and completed.

Milliken – At what point, if any, are we going to be able to see what this shelter house is going to look like before we start construction?

Sims – I can forward you the proposal plans.

Milliken – Yes. I would appreciate that. Thank you.

Clark – With us declaring an emergency tonight to help speed it up, what would the completion timeline be?

Sims – It has a six-month duration. There's obviously some significant material acquisition that needs to occur early on, but the hope is that we will be complete by the first of September. There may be a few days' difference depending on when I issue the actual notice, but my goal was to have it ready for use this fall so it doesn't sit there unused until spring.

Amos – I have a couple questions for you on what we deleted. We deleted the insulated rails, and I understand we don't want to be like a four-season facility, but are we running water to this facility, and if so, are we weatherizing lines when it's not in use?

Sims – Yes, there is water. The building includes restrooms as well as a mop sink and that sort of thing. There's a heater in the plumbing chase, so when we want to winterize, which will most likely be around Thanksgiving to very early spring, we'll be able to turn off the water to the restrooms while keeping water in the structure to that heated space.

Amos – With the reduction in glass from one half to one quarter, are we worried that in extreme temperatures that's too thin?

Sims – That was one of my primary questions as well: is there potentially a structural issue? I did go back and look at it. They actually started at an eighth inch, so a quarter inch is kind of in the middle of the road, with half being very high. It was not something we needed. The gathering space itself is heated as well as the fireplace unit. I don't think we're losing anything from that perspective. I think another benefit was the weight of the doors. These are not powered garage doors, so I think there's some benefit to the reproduction in the glass weight.

Amos – *And with this being a field that is active in sports, how close is this to the field that we have to worry about?*

Sims – *This is next to the house. It's a good distance from the fields. It's on the other side of the roadway.*

ORD-23-007

Finance

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND ORDINANCES 22-045 AND 22-046 TO CORRECT TYPOGRAPHICAL ERRORS, AND DECLARE AN EMERGENCY

- Request to move to full Council

A motion was made by Amick, seconded by Walker to move ORD-23-007 to full Council. The motion carried with the following vote:

Yes 6 – Amick, Walker, Amos, Buskirk, Clark, Milliken

Discussion started at 17:38 on YouTube Channel

Jackson – *As it states in the ordinance, you may recall that on December 5th we brought to your attention two ordinances regarding the city picking up a portion of the employer's required contribution to OPERS for certain members of city staff. Even during the meetings leading up to the adoption of that ordinance, we talked about a couple of typos that were in there. They were corrected and saved in the wrong place, and so when we sent them to PERS, they pointed those out, so this ordinance tonight will direct Jordan to correct those typos so that we can get everything finalized with PERS so they have nice, clean, correct ordinances for their records.*

ORD-23-008

Public Service

AN ORDINANCE TO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH V3 COMPANIES FOR THE DESIGN SERVICES OF THE WATER RECLAMATION HEADWORKS REPLACEMENT PROJECT

- Request to move to full Council

A motion was made by Buskirk, seconded by Amick to move ORD-23-008 to full Council. The motion carried with the following vote:

Yes 6 – Buskirk, Amick, Amos, Clark, Milliken, Walker

Discussion started at 19:03 on YouTube Channel

Peoples – *As stated, it's the design proposal for the headworks project. We've been discussing this for quite a while. Fortunately, this was originally a two-million-dollar estimated amount for the engineering, and right now it is down to about one million and a half. The contract that is included here is a placeholder at this point. We are working on a few things that we have to work out, so the price is likely going to go up to right about \$1.6 million. All of this is budgeted; it was put into the 2023 budget. It was very much anticipated, but as I said, it's fortunate. It is less than what was originally anticipated. We will request a waiver of the third reading at the next council meeting once we get the contract squared away.*

Amick – *Is Brown and Caldwell a subcontractor of V3?*

Peoples – *Correct.*

Amick – *Will V3 subsequently pay Brown and Caldwell so this will still keep the price tag at \$1.4 million?*

Peoples – Yes. Absolutely. Brown and Caldwell were brought on before the planning phase. They are specialists in the headworks area, and very detail-oriented, which has helped us along through the project. V3 is going to be the project lead and also do the civil engineering portion of that.

E. Old/New Business

OTH-22-021

Pedestrian Safety

Discussion started at 21:23 on YouTube Channel

Peoples – As part of the design for the 2023 Street Program, we started talking about the downtown streetscape. It really started with some pedestrian safety issues. A couple years ago, there were some residents who came in with concerns, and we wanted to take a look at the area. If you've been downtown, I know that since we've been in this building, I don't go down to 10 North High as much, but if you remember, right down at the bottom of the steps, all of that brickwork is deteriorating. We've had work done over the last couple years where the tops of the bricks are coming off. They're all from 1992, so we wanted EMH&T to take a look at it and kind of see what they could do from a concept standpoint, not only for the brickwork but for pedestrian safety as well. The rendering we have here does just that. First of all, for pedestrian safety, it kind of lines up true crosswalks. Right now, there's just a giant depressed curb along all of the corners, which really aren't conducive to direct routes. If you stand out there, especially going from Harvest Moon over to Cornersmiths, it's kind of a free-for-all. There are some old stop bars there that kind of make it look like that's where the crosswalk is, but if you line up with the crosswalk signals, you can pretty much pick and choose wherever you want to go. You could probably even do it diagonally if you really wanted to. So, what they've done is just line these up straight and delineate them. If you look closely, all of the brickwork in the middle is gone. The existing brickwork has been restored to this point. That's all the brickwork that has been taken out. We did leave a little medallion in the center down there. It's a circle in the middle of town, and it has a CW and a date. We would like to add that as a nice feature down in the middle. All this would be brickwork, which is what we're looking at now and in the crosswalks. You can't really see in here, but there's a different shade of gray on the corners. If you think about it now, the curb all the way back to the buildings on all four corners is brickwork. What we're doing is removing all of this brickwork and putting in concrete. We also went down and did the same thing around Shades. If you have ever been to Shades, there is a giant puddle that stays there. We do have drainage facilities right underneath there, but all of this area out in front of Shades slopes right down to the sidewalk, and that's where it's going to lay without any proper drainage. Everybody uses the existing brickwork as a crosswalk. I don't know if anyone pays attention, but there is an outline of a boat in that area. It has faded over the years. If you went out there, you could find out where the boat is, but the general population does not know that it is there. We do have some accent bricks in the area. Everyone always crosses there anyway, so we thought it would be a good idea to put some crosswalks in there as designated. We've added a small bump out area here and made the crossing much shorter than it is now so you don't have to emerge from behind a car. Included in this as well is the property we purchased at 20 South High Street. If you recall, there's a very large depressed curb area where people usually park. This would eliminate that, and the brickwork again is kind of messed up. Their parking lot is at a different elevation, so we've had people fall during festivals through there. A lot of times at the Ribfest, we put in a big vendor, so no one really walks up to that area. Installing a full curb all the way down and replacing the brickwork with sidewalk. Let me show you all one more thing. If you see that dark area over the crosswalk, we kind of envisioned an archway through there. We've made room for that in that area. We've seen a couple other archways around the Columbus area and kind of felt like that was something we'd like to do. We have archways over in Stradley Park; they're very narrow and about 8 feet wide. The CW medallion is in the center of that archway. The vision would be to try to mimic that. Obviously, this is one that EMH&T

did over at Beulah Park in Grove City. They've got lighting on it. We can start envisioning a lot of stuff that we would like to do. We just want to make a place for it, if nothing else. We were planning for this to be thrown into the 2023 Street Program. The total price, not including the archway, came to about \$750,000. That's not really something that we have budgeted for. While we do want to address some pedestrian safety down there, we also need to make much bigger improvements than what we have now. As we were kind of looking through this, we also identified the side of Cornersmiths and the large trees that are there. A few years back, in our street program, as part of the sidewalk replacement, we went through there and replaced a lot of those panels. Through our grant program, we charge the property owner half of that. Those panels we put in are already coming up. As we go through that, there's no sense in putting a lot of money into that. We still have some other deficiencies in the sidewalk area that are causing these problems with the street trees. What we'd like to do is start putting together a program where we go in and start replacing those trees down there. I absolutely hate to do that because I love the look of the side of that building, but walking underneath there is just a trip and fall hazard. They've outgrown their space, and it's time for them to go down. These are honey locust trees that are down there. We'd probably do a smaller-stature ornamental tree with some sort of grading through there. Those trees that are there currently are 30 years old. That's what our plan is, and hopefully we can get this for next year's budget. That'll be our big project. We spend about a million dollars on the street program. We would have to figure out how that all fits in, whether we do it as a phased approach or what. The Waterloo and High Street area was about \$500,000, and then the Shades area was about \$250,000. This is just part of our vision. We heard a lot last year that 33 is what defines Canal Winchester and what everyone thinks of Canal Winchester, and I don't agree with that at all. Downtown is what defines Canal Winchester. We wanted to start putting in some of these things. It's been since 1992; it was great, but it has had its time and needs to be replaced with something that is a little bit easier to maintain over the years and meets the current standards.

Amos – I would like to start by saying that this is cruel and unusual punishment by showing us what we can have and then telling us we can't have it right away. I love the design. I think it's beautiful. Confirm for me, because the question is going to come up, that none of the bricks from the town square are historical; they're all from the 1992 edition.

Peoples – They're all concrete pavers.

Amos – If this is going to be a 2024 project, would it be possible to reach out to some of these businesses now and let them know that we're looking to do this project in 2024 and if they would like to consider redoing the sidewalk in front of their store? The thought of being able to re-invent downtown with clean sidewalks and trees—it just seems like if we can get the businesses to buy in and give them plenty of time to do so, it would be lovely.

Peoples – We have the annual sidewalk replacements as part of the street program, so we can look at that. Off the top of my head, I don't think there's a huge amount of area that needs wholesale improvements due to deficiencies. We have a couple here and there that do have some issues, but the sidewalks have all held up very well. I know we had some replacements from utility projects over the years, but I don't recall any other than East Waterloo Street. I've been talking about High Street for the most part in these areas, but on Waterloo Street we've been fixing some here and there.

Sims – East Waterloo has the worst sidewalk condition because of the trees' age. They will have to be replaced when the trees are taken down. Several of those sidewalks have been replaced in less than 10

years. Property owners have taken advantage of the sidewalk program and made some improvements.

Peoples – One more thing to note on the sidewalk program along the side of Cornersmiths there: we decided not to take the trees out at that time and just try to fix the sidewalk through there. The first time is on the property owner's dime, and the second time is on our dime, or at least the first time is half of theirs. Some of the discussion over the years has been about who owns what. The city completed all of the brick and sidewalk work in 1992 using grants we received, but we've always said that the brickwork is ours and the concrete belongs to the property owner.

Walker – I know we talked about saving from the bow to the stern of that boat on and off for a few years. That's not what we are talking about now, correct? We're talking about replacing the sidewalk, and the bow-to-stern will no longer be there.

Peoples – Correct. We kind of talked about how we could recognize that the canal went through there, but it was brought up that we have a big historic marker that is already there. Having brickwork in the street makes it seem like a crosswalk, and that's not what we want to do from a pedestrian safety standpoint.

Milliken – I know that we've all probably had conversations with you about that intersection at some point. I just want to say thank you to you and to Mr. Sims and the mayor, whoever had a hand in this, for hearing everybody and their concerns. My question would be, was there any consideration given to including the intersection at Columbus and High Street along with this design?

Peoples – It is not in the design. We know that there are some deficiencies in that. Specifically, the crosswalks on Columbus Street. I think it was for the Blues and Ribfest; we put a lot of repairs into both of those. We don't particularly care for the design of the brick work. It looks great when it first goes up, but we used actual brick pavers rather than concrete.

Sims – Yes. Of course, those will no longer match. The idea behind the color scheme was to incorporate some of the old colors, so I would see maybe not right away, but at some point we would redo those Columbus Street crosswalks to match the square and the Shades crosswalk.

Milliken – So that would have to be a separate project?

Peoples – We're already at \$750,000, which hurts from a budget standpoint. I'm not sure how much more we want to add to that or if we're trying to fit it into the regular budget. Also, as part of that, we have a project coming up to remove all of the pervious concrete along West Columbus Street. It is starting to fail; it's 16 years old now. We've been debating what product to put back in there, and I believe we discussed the concrete pavers as well, and how we might still be able to use them as storm water benefits. I saw a place up at Easton where it looks exactly like those, but there is no grout in any of the brick lines, and the water goes right down through there.

Milliken – I would imagine the bulk of that \$750,000 would come from the main intersection of Waterloo and High, right?

Peoples – Yes. I think it's about \$550,000.

Milliken – I ultimately trust you guys with this, but my thinking would be, let's extend it all out and get it

done, and if it's only going to be another, I'm just spitballing, \$200,000 to do that one extra crosswalk. That's just my thought, and again, I appreciate this very much.

Ebert – We have discussed that, but we also have to think about how much we're going to disrupt everything downtown and we can't totally close it down. We'll have to keep it partially open. It'd almost have to be done separately.

Peoples – I have one more pedestrian safety item. We've been talking a lot about the Washington and Waterloo Street intersection. I think it was 2016 when EMH&T did a study for us. We implemented some of the improvements that we talked about, like the signage out there. If you've seen them, we have some paintings on the roadways as well. Since all of the discussion we've had, we had them go back there and take a look at the study itself, and they are recommending the installation of a three-way stop at that intersection. They've done the study, and it does warrant that. We've got a few things we want to work out. We're actually ordering the materials for that. There are a few striping issues that we want to take a look at. Our biggest concern is that we want to make sure that once we put them up there, it's been so long that people are used to not having a stop sign there, and we want to make sure that it's done properly, with advanced warnings and that type of thing. There'll be some extra signage up there. I don't have a date for you, but it is something that we are implementing. As part of that intersection, we've been looking at Washington and Groveport. We feel that there's going to be some improvements to the Washington and Groveport intersection once this happens, especially during peak times. The problem, from a traffic standpoint, is that people are taking a left off Groveport Road onto Washington Street. At those peak times, there's also Waterloo Street backing up past Groveport at times, especially during school dismissal, let alone just a regular rush hour. We're going to be monitoring the Washington and Groveport intersection after we do the stop sign installation. I'm hoping within a month, but if we have to do any striping, it might be weather-dependent, so it may take a little bit longer.

Clark – Has EMH&T done studies on what this is going to do to traffic flow with three stop signs there and school letting out? Are we going to do a trial?

Peoples – Yes. It could always be removed. I believe the study did not warrant a traffic signal, so it would be the stop signs. Stop signs are pretty cheap to put up. The evaluation would be based on the improved traffic flow on Washington Street. It would disrupt Waterloo Street, but the benefits of the intersection at Washington Street would outweigh some of the negatives. Also, as you approach town from the east, you'll slow down traffic, causing it to stop rather than speed through the intersection. As we've talked about, it's very difficult for pedestrians to get through there. It gets people slowing down, and we've got Loose Rail right up the road and Local Cantina; unfortunately, people don't always use crosswalks. If we can slow people down when they come into town, that's quite a benefit as well.

Ebert – If you're there in the afternoon when school lets out and the cars are coming north on Washington Street and cars are coming from Waterloo Street, if you want to make a left-hand turn, you can sit there for five minutes waiting. The whole thing is about the pedestrians; I mean, that's really what it's all about. If we slow a car down, that's great.

Amos – Who will be doing the evaluation to see if it's beneficial? Is EMH&T coming back out to do an evaluation?

Peoples – Yes. We'll make our observations as well. It may take some time for people to understand how

things work through there, but we're not going to go out there and make a decision right away. We will monitor that and have our guys keep an eye out for it just to see if they see any issues with it from a safety standpoint. The only negative that I can see is that it's a new sign and everyone isn't used to having a stop sign there, so they'll go through it. Those are very difficult to stop. Like I said, we're going to put some advanced warning signs up there.

OTH-22-022

Police Study

Discussion started at 48:03 on YouTube Chanel

Clark – In Amanda's notes, it's coming in soon before our next meeting, and the police study group that's doing it plans on coming to the February 21st meeting to give us an overview. We should have it before that time so that we can read it and prepare our questions.

OTH-23-001

Mayor and Council Salaries

Discussion started 48:35 on YouTube Channel

Amos – I will say I apologize. I was hoping to have my notes typed up for you guys by now. It has been a little crazy this week, so I will get my notes that I talked about last week typed up for you.

Milliken – My whole thing was—as I mentioned at the Committee of the Whole—that I would like to see the mayoral salary tied in with the administrative position. I just wanted to get more from you guys; if that seemed like there was some support there or if I'm a lone wolf, so be it, but that is what I would like to see.

Amick – You want to see them on the same piece of legislation?

Milliken – Yes. You don't have to answer me now.

Clark – I'm okay with that. I'm assuming that the mayor's salary in this situation is going to be lower, so I think we need to get down to a point where we start talking about what the appropriate amount would be.

Boggs – In my opinion, that could be done as a single piece of legislation under single-subject principles.

Amos – I'm not sure I'm on board with it, but I'll ponder your thoughts.

Milliken – Fair.

Amick – I think that the point being, and Mr. Milliken I don't want to put words in your mouth, but one is the way you ultimately will vote. One could be contingent upon the other.

Milliken – Yes.

Amick – Can we just clarify the July recess? It was sort of left in a tentative state, and I'd kind of like to know if we've clarified whether we're going to continue with the schedule as is or if we're going to take the recess at the beginning of July, which would make our July meetings the 17th, and then we have a Committee of the Whole on the 30th.

Amos – I think the general consensus was that we were all okay moving it to July 5th, but I'll say that worked for me.

Milliken – Yes.

Amos – Mr. Walker? We would take the July 5th versus the later day.

Walker – Do we have to make that decision now?

Milliken – You don't have to.

Walker – I just may not be able to make it. If you need a decision now, I will say its fine.

Amos – Mr. Shea was good.

Clark – I'm good.

Buskirk – I'm good with that.

Amick – I honestly don't care either way. I would just like to confirm.

Clark – Should we wait until Mr. Shea comes back and then try to take care of it at our next meeting? We can do a vote or something.

Amos – Do we need to vote on that if we change the calendar?

Boggs – Yes.

Amos – We'll leave it as if all parties could check their calendar to make sure it doesn't have any conflicts and we'll reconvene at the next meeting.

Milliken – Sounds good.

Amick – Just a reminder to my peers on council that the Comprehensive Plan public meeting dates have been set. I think this was brought up at the last meeting, but if you could please make sure to try and attend, it's February 22nd and 23rd, and the full agenda is available on the website, www.cwggrowingtogether.com.

F. Adjournment @ 6:51 p.m.

***A motion was made by Amos, seconded by Buskirk to adjourn. The motion carried with the following vote:
Yes 6 – Amos, Buskirk, Clark, Milliken, Walker, Amick***